LAKE COUNTY
CONSTRUCTION IN PROGRESS

238422-1-52-01
SR 25/US 27 from N. Boggy Marsh Road to N. of Lake Louisa Road. Add lanes and reconstruct
Estimated completion date: 967
Ranger Construction
Project cost: $37,503,443.23
ESTIMATE COMPLETION DATE: SEPTEMBER 2018 – 34% COMPLETE
LANE CLOSURES: February 9, 2016 to October 18, 2018
SB inside lane closure on US 27 from south of Marguax Dr. to north of Lake Louisa Rd. – 24-hours a-day
April 25, 2016 to October 18, 2018
NB outside lane closure on US 27 from south of Margaux Dr. to north of Lake Louisa Rd. – 24-hours a-day
The single lane configuration on both NB and SB will remain until the project is complete in Winter of 2018.

435434-1-52-01
SR 25/US 27 and SR 50 Interchange – Landscaping in Lake County
Estimated completion date: August 2017 (Establishment period ends) – 71% complete
Dynamics Group, Inc.
Project cost: $243,390
LANE CLOSURES: No lane closures anticipated
Sumter County reviewing Transition Plan for take-over maintenance after the 2-year Landscape Establishment period.
LAKE COUNTY
Other Projects Pending

1. **SR 500 (US 441) from Lake Ella Road to Avenida Central** - Reconstruction project to 6-lane US 441 from Lake Ella Road to Avenida Central (FM 238395-5). Construction funded FY 2020 estimate $33 million.

2. **SR 500 (US 441) from Perkins Street to SR 44** (FM238394-3) Construction not funded.


4. **SR 44 (CR 44B) from SR 500 (US 441) to SR 44** - Design for four-laning the two miles from US 441 to SR 44 is in progress (FM No. 409870-1). Right of way FY 2014/16. Construction not funded.


6. **CR 466A (Miller St.) Lake-Sumter County Line to US 27** - A $8.7 million TRIP grant to Lake County Right-of-Way funds in FY 2014 (FM 430253-1). Construction on Segment (2). JPA with Lake County (ROW )

7. **CR 466A (Miller St.) from US 27 to Sunny Court** – A $5.0 million grant for construction from US 27 to Sunny Court (FM No. 430253-2) in FY 2015. JPA with Lake County.

8. **CR 466A (Miller Street) Phase 3 from Cut-off Road to Sunny Court** - $2.5 million grant for Right-of-Way in Fiscal Year 2016 (FM 430253-3). LAP with Lake County. (Construction on FM430253-4).
SUMTER COUNTY
CONSTRUCTION IN PROGRESS

242626-2-52-01:
I-75 Improvements from North of Hernando County Line to South of CR 470.
Widen I-75 from four (4) lanes to six (6) lanes, complete interchange construct at State Road 48 (Exit 314) new ramps at the CR 476B/CR 673 (Exit 309 Interchange) Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS) improvements. Drainage, guardrail, signing and pavement markings, signalization, milling and resurfacing, and miscellaneous structures.
Estimated completion date: January 2017 - 85% complete
The Middlesex Corporation
Project cost: $76.9 million
LANE CLOSURES: No Lane closures anticipated

242626-3-52-01:
I-75 from South of CR 470 to SR 91 (FL Turnpike) in Sumter County
Widening of 4-lane divided Highway to 6-lane divided Highway
Estimated completion date: September 2017 - 71% complete
Project cost: $43.1 million
LANE CLOSURES: January 3 to January 4, 2017
8pm to 7 am – Alternating NB single lane closures just north of Exit 321 for milling and resurfacing.
January 5 to January 6, 2017
8pm to 7 am – NB off-ramp at Exit 321 will be closed for asphalt repairs. Detour will be in effect NB I-75 traffic will either have to leave I-75 at Exit 314 (SR 48) and access CR 470 via CR 475 or make a U-turn at Exit 329 (SR 44) and access CR 470 using the SB off-ramp/

240418-2:
SR 48 from E. of I-75 Ramps to CR 475 (Main Street) – Add Lanes and Rehabilitate Pavement
Estimated completion date: July 2017 – 61%
LANE CLOSURES: No lane closures anticipated

433959-1:
State Road 35/US 301 begins south of Cherokee Avenue and ends just north of Noble Avenue. (Bushnell)
Estimated completion date: Summer 2017
Milling and resurfacing the four-lane, undivided roadway and parking shoulders, and providing sidewalk improvements at several locations to meet ADA requirements
Project cost: $8.8 million
LANE CLOSURES: January 3 to January 6, 2017
6pm to 6:30 am – Single SB and NB outside lane closures from Noble Avenue to Seminole Avenue to mill and pave the roadway.
Other Projects Pending

1. **SR 35 (US 301) from CR 470 to SR 44** - Widening from two to four lanes Design Phase FY 2017/18 (FM No. 430132-1).

2. **I-75 at CR 514 from 0.5 miles W. of I-75 to US 301** – Environmental study (PD&E) FY 2017. (FM435476-1)

3. **CR 466W from CR 209 to US 301** – A $1.6 million grant to Sumter County in FY 2015 for resurfacing existing pavement (Super Pave), remark Pavement and Sod. JPA with Sumter County (FM No. 428443-1).

4. **CR 475 from C-470 to CR 542** - A $3.26 million grant to Sumter County in FY 2015/16 for construction of paved shoulders and resurfacing along the 3.7 miles from CR 470 to CR 542, including replacement of the timber column bridge at Jumper Creek with concrete box culverts (FM No. 429944-1). JAP with Sumter County

5. **CR 673 from US 301 to I-75** – A $2.032 million construction grant (FY 2017/18) to Sumter County to widen lanes, pave shoulders and resurfacing from .8 miles west of US 301 to I-75. (FM 433670-1). JPA with Sumter County.
## PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

### PROJECT SUMMARY - January 24, 2017

**SUNTRONIC PROJECTS ROADWAY PROJECTS UPDATE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ROADS</th>
<th>SCOPE</th>
<th>PHASE</th>
<th>PROJECT BUDGET ($)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 C-462 Widening</td>
<td>This roadway widening project includes reconstruction of approximately 2.3 miles of roadway from US 361 to C-462A within the City limits of Wildwood. The final roadway configuration will include one travel lane in each direction, a bi-directional center turn lane, and a bike lane and sidewalk on both sides of the roadway. Roadway construction commenced on April 18, 2016. Project completion is 12/31/18 and final punch list, de-bulking, and CSX railroad on 6/1/18.</td>
<td>PC</td>
<td>$5,333,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 C-469W Widening</td>
<td>This roadway widening project includes reconstruction of approximately one mile of roadway from CR 360 to US 301 within the City limits of Wildwood. The final roadway configuration will include one travel lane in each direction, a bi-directional center turn lane, and a bike lane and sidewalk on both sides of the roadway. Overhead utilities, construction completed and storm sewers as well as curb and gutter work will dominate the next 3 weeks.</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>$4,665,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 C-476 W Safety Improvements</td>
<td>The purpose of this project is to replace the existing edge and centerline striping with rumble striping for a 2.5 mile section of C-476 W from the Hernando County Line to US 301. Project substantially complete with FDOT cost-out meeting and one punch list item remaining.</td>
<td>PC</td>
<td>$200,842</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 C-663 Widening from US 301 to CR 565</td>
<td>This roadway widening project includes reconstruction of approximately 1.7 miles of roadway from US 301 to CR 565. The final roadway configuration will include a four-lane divided urban type section with sidewalks and bike lanes. The project is being coordinated with the Wildwood Springs ORC site planning process, and share roadway/developer across locations have been identified. The design was completed, and Right-of-Way (ROW) acquisition started in January 2016. Due to a weak economy the project was moved to mid-March 2017.</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>$2,323,658</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 C-479 from C-470 B to CR 462</td>
<td>This project involves moving and realigning C-479 S from C-470 to CR 462. Scope also includes adding 4 paved shoulders and relocating the bridge over Jumper Creek and includes a 12 inch water main interconnection design. The design recommendations for Killen-Hern and Associates will be moved into the BOCC for approval on 8/19/16 and includes a dry water main extension to interconnect the Blackmarsh water system. Funding of construction is expected to be through an FDOT SGP grant.</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>$400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 C-470, C-478N, and C-575 Safety Improvements</td>
<td>The design recommendations forHDR will go before the BOCC 5/14/16 for safety improvements to C-470 between CR 404 and Wildness Drive (0.6 miles); C-478N between SR 44 and the Marion County line (0.4 miles); and C-575 between C-479W and C-48W along 0.5 miles of curve. These safety improvements include adding paved shoulders, installing raised pavement markers, installing edge line rumble strips, and other related safety improvements. The RFQ for design was advertised 1/15/16. Design is 60% plans and construction is scheduled to occur in FY 2018. This project is funded with FDOT Local Agency Program (LAP) funds.</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>$400,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 C-476 from US 301 to SR 471</td>
<td>This 5.6 miles of roadway is scheduled to be reconstructed once funding has been identified by FDOT. Funding of construction is expected to be through an FDOT CSIP grant in FY 2014.</td>
<td>PL</td>
<td>$750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 South Bona Vista Boulevard</td>
<td>This roadway will be realigned and realigned from the North Okeechobee River Trail roundabout to the South Okeechobee Circle roundabout (SOOR). This work is scheduled to occur once funding has been identified by FDOT. Funding of construction is expected to be through an FDOT CSIP grant in FY 2018.</td>
<td>PL</td>
<td>$750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 CR 219 between SR 44 and CR 238</td>
<td>This project involves the reconstruction and widening of the existing two-lane CR 219 to include two 12’ lanes, paved shoulders, and turn lanes at SR 44 and the future southernmost flex 7’ driveway. It also includes the extension of 12’2’’ potable water main to the north of the industrial park entrance. C.W. Roberts is the contractor for this project. Construction is underway</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>$1,003,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 CR 626 Extension - Wade Industrial Park</td>
<td>The concept plan for the Wade industrial park and development is complete. The Wade right-of-way closed but the Nixon right-of-way closing is delayed due to the APLC easement conflict. Final approval is still needed for the SWFWMD permit process. The design includes the water line, gas line and limited improvements on CR 614. Construction bidding is scheduled until the Nixon right-of-way is in hand and the SWFWMD permit is in hand.</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>$2,565,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 ITS Study</td>
<td>A Joint Participation Agreement (JPA) with FDOT was approved by the Board of County Commissioners on 1/12/15. A task order with Deltec Association for the purpose of the study will be executed in February 2016. The study is expected to be complete by January 2017.</td>
<td>PL</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 CR 673 from CR 674 west to I-75</td>
<td>This 3.5 miles of roadway will be reconstructed and paved shoulders will be added to the roadway. The RFQ will be advertised for Design Consultant Selection 12/15/16. FDOT has authorized design funds for 2017 and construction is anticipated for FY 2018. Consultant Selection Committee to meet and recommend top ranked consultant for BOCC review at 12/14/17 BOCC Meeting.</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>$2,928.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### FUTURE PROJECTS

| A C-46W Safety Improvements | C-46W from the Citrus County Line to CR 116 is a roadway safety upgrade project (adding 5’ paved shoulders, double edge line, and guardrail at the curve) approximately 7.5 miles in length. 100% design plans will be submitted to FDOT on 2/16/17. | FY 2017 | $400,000 |
| B C-472 @ US 301 Intersection | A final FDOT signalization study and roundabout alternatives analysis was submitted to the County from FDOT on 10/21/16. A roundabout is the preferred alternative, and is tentatively scheduled for construction in FY 2020-2021. As an interim safety measure, modified the median to a directional type. | FY 2020 | TBD |

---

Saved as: S:\Public Works\Division-Admin\Project Update Reports
Projects A and B are future projects and are not shown on the map.
8 Tips for Transportation Project Resources in Rural Communities

1. **Identify priority projects.** From a list of community needs, select top 5-6 priority projects. Include projects that may not have an obvious transportation need, but have a potential transportation impact. Consider the readiness of the project, economic development opportunities, and available community resources.

2. **Identify an FDOT Champion.** Your Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) contact can help you navigate the process; your District Local Agency Program (LAP) Coordinator is a good point of entry.

3. **Work with FDOT to strategically match funding sources.** The LAP Coordinator and FDOT’s Office of Work Program and Budget can assist in determining the best match of transportation funding sources for priority projects.

4. **Coordinate joint projects.** In some cases, joint projects with other communities/counties with a broader scope can expand funding options or reduce local match requirements, for example, through joint Transportation Regional Incentive Program (TRIP) projects.

5. **Take advantage of the Rural Economic Development Initiative (REDI) reduction or waiver of financial match requirements.** Projects requiring a local match can either be reduced or waived in the 32 rural counties.

6. **Seek technical assistance from DEO and Regional Planning Councils (RPC).** DEO offers limited technical assistance grants and its Competitive Florida program helps identify community assets through asset mapping. RPCs can provide technical assistance or resources for a fee.

7. **Partner with local colleges.** The Florida Local Technical Assistance Program (LTAP) at the University of Florida offers assistance such as transportation equipment loans. Consider offering internships for local college students to augment staff, while offering career development opportunities to encourage local talent to stay in the rural community.

8. **Stay involved.** Attend workshops and training offered by DEO, FDOT and others; attend Community of Practice meetings held by FDOT; attend monthly REDI meetings held by DEO; be part of the Small County Coalition; and follow current events and regulations.

Questions? Contact the Office of Policy Planning at planning@dot.state.fl.us
Q1: When will the Complete Streets Handbook be available to the public?

A: The Complete Streets Handbook will be available for review in April 2017.

The handbook is being reviewed and revised within FDOT now. The current plan is to make the handbook available for review by partner agencies and the public at large in April 2017. The final version will be released in June 2017.

Q2: What are the FDOT context classifications and how are they used?

A: What: The FDOT context classifications are a framework for transportation planning that provides enough flexibility to make each project look like it belongs in the location it will go, while also providing enough guidelines to make sure the project will be safe and effective. Florida’s environment is divided into eight classifications ranging from a completely natural environment without buildings, to a dense urban downtown. The classifications are similar to SmartCode form-based code (a new kind of land use zoning), transects and context zones.

Under the Context Sensitive Solutions label, FDOT has for many years allowed flexibility when planning projects on the State Highway System (SHS) so that the community’s context would be reflected. Most recently, the Transportation Solutions that Support Quality Places to Live, Learn, Work, and Play goal of the 2016 Florida Transportation Plan has a specific objective about creating transportation systems that reflect community values, visions, and needs.

To be more systematic in supporting this flexibility, FDOT has adopted a complete street approach to planning and design. We have eight classifications:

- **C1-Natural** – Lands preserved in a natural or wilderness condition, including lands unsuitable for settlement due to natural conditions.
- **C2-Rural** – Sparsely settled lands; may include agricultural land, grassland, woodland, and wetlands.
- **C2T-Rural Town** – Small concentrations of developed areas immediately surrounded by rural and natural areas; includes many historic towns.
- **C3R-Suburban Residential** – Mostly residential uses within large blocks and a disconnected/sparse roadway network.
- **C3C-Suburban Commercial** – Mostly non-residential uses with large building footprints and large parking lots. Buildings are within large blocks and a disconnected/sparse roadway network.

**Context Sensitive Solutions (CSS)**

The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) defines CSS as a collaborative, interdisciplinary, approach that involves all stakeholders in developing a transportation facility that complements its physical setting and preserves scenic, aesthetic, and historic and environmental resources while maintaining safety and mobility.

The application of CSS principles within the transportation planning process assists communities reach their transportation goals by encouraging the consideration of land-use, transportation, and infrastructure needs in an integrated manner. When transportation planning reflects community input and takes into consideration the impacts on both natural and human environments, it also promotes partnerships that lead to “balanced” decision making.
- C4-Urban – General Mix of uses set within small blocks with a well-connected roadway network. May extend long distances. The roadway network usually connects to residential neighborhoods immediately along the corridor and/or behind the uses fronting the roadway.

- C5-Urban Center – Mix of uses set within small blocks with a well-connected roadway network. Typically concentrated around a few blocks and identified as part of the community, town, or city of a civic or economic center.

- C6-Urban Core – Areas with the highest densities and with building heights within FDOT classified Large Urbanized Areas (population >1,000,000). Many are regional centers and destinations. Buildings have mixed uses, are built up to the roadway, and are within a well-connected roadway network.

**How:** The FDOT context classifications are officially assigned at the project scoping phase. After looking at the current and future community’s environment to determine the context classification, the planner will choose transportation elements that fit within the parameters of that classification.

The FDOT context classification and transportation characteristics, such as the road’s functional class, will be used together when applying complete street planning or design considerations. The details are currently being prepared as part of design manual updates.

**Q3: Who will determine the FDOT context classification?**

**A:** FDOT will have the final determination of the context classification to be used for state transportation projects (i.e., for roads on the State Highway System (SHS), including the Strategic Intermodal System (SIS)).

The measures that will be used to determine the context can be based on existing development patterns or future visions of the community. Collaboration with the local and regional agencies and governments associated with the project is important. In an ideal situation, a future vision for an area or corridor will be documented and approved by the community’s governing body, such as in its comprehensive plan and land development codes. Community redevelopment area master plans or sector plans are other possible examples.

**Q4: When and how will the FDOT context classification be determined?**

**A: When:** The FDOT context for state projects will be determined as early as possible in the planning, design, and maintenance cycle.

In fact, a District could decide to proactively determine the context for all state facilities, or all facilities in a specific area (e.g., an urbanized area). At any point, a District is able to collaborate with a community to identify a road’s context. (Note: Interstates and limited access facilities are considered “complete” given their transportation purposes.)

**How:** Each FDOT District will decide how best to incorporate a complete street planning and design approach in its processes given some common elements. For example, some Districts have scoping teams and tools to identify and tag projects for increased community collaboration and flexibility.
There will be complete streets related actions to take during project planning, programming, design, and maintenance. To address new, longer term projects identified as part of the MPO long range planning process, Districts will identify the context classification of state projects during the environmental screening stage and collaborate with affected local governments as part of Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP) preparation, or ad hoc, if need be. For new projects (planning, design, and maintenance) being programmed as part of the annual work program process (i.e., the new fifth year), the context will be determined and used to influence the work effort. As appropriate and feasible, a complete street approach will be used for planning and design of projects already programmed. For state projects\(^1\), the project manager (or designee, such as a scoping team member, growth management liaison, or MPO liaison) is responsible for coordinating with affected local and regional governments and agencies during the determination of the context classification.

Q5: How does the complete street planning and design approach apply to Strategic Intermodal System (SIS) facilities?

A: FDOT will look to retain SIS functionality as part of the complete street approach with more flexibility than in the past. Multiple partners working collaboratively to find solutions is key with the complete street approach, whether for a SIS facility, or state or local road.

The SIS is composed of facilities of statewide and regional significance with the objective of supporting interregional connectivity, intermodal connectivity, and economic development. To local communities, a SIS facility can serve as a corridor connecting communities or may be a main street for a town.

A complete street planning and design approach is rooted in balancing needs and conditions to achieve multiple outcomes as best as possible. For example, some Districts have worked with communities to shift SIS corridors to avoid main street areas and have designated alternate SIS routes or connectors to support interregional travel and local needs simultaneously.

Q6: How does the complete street planning and design approach influence funding?

A: FDOT will continue to use the same funding categories (federal, state, and local funds) with the complete street planning and design approach.

Context classification allows FDOT greater flexibility in designs and the complete street approach helps match roads to their locations. We want to “put the right road in the right place.” But FDOT will still have to use the same funding categories as today. To make best use of these opportunities, we need to plan more carefully for what we want, and where, and line up the appropriate funding to make it happen. There is no new funding, but FDOT has the chance to use our old funding sources in more specific ways than before, by understanding place better than we have before. This also means we will continue to rely on local partners to provide enhancements in designs that traditional funding sources may not support (e.g., decorative lighting, or patterned facilities).

\(^1\) Note: Exceptions may apply when timing is critical, such as for emergency repair projects.
Q7: What happens before the Complete Streets Handbook is released and a context based design manual is created?

A: Although the Handbook and associated design manuals are not yet released, FDOT is incorporating the complete street planning and design approach in existing state projects and will continue to do so.

Communities can reach out to FDOT project managers and initiate the collaboration process that can lead to incorporating flexibility into plans and designs. The released Handbook will provide the context language and direction for a more consistent application of a complete street planning and design approach. Similarly, design manual updates will support flexibility and tradeoff decisions that must be considered when delving more deeply into local conditions.

Q8: How does a community coordinate with FDOT before projects are identified?

A: Communities are encouraged to reach out to their district FDOT staff to coordinate with FDOT before projects are identified. A community's district FDOT staff contact could be a: complete streets coordinator, bike/pedestrian coordinator, safety specialist, metropolitan planning organization (MPO) liaison, or growth management coordinator.

Communities are encouraged to reach out any district FDOT staff. Each District will address community collaboration differently. For some of the more urban Districts, Complete Streets coordinators are designated. Other Districts will rely on bike/ped coordinators, safety specialists, MPO liaisons, or growth management coordinators. A community is encouraged to reach out to any of these parties who will assist in directing the request appropriately. A District is able to collaborate with a community to identify a road's context at any time.

Q9: How does a community request a reconsideration of the context classification if they disagree with the decision?

A: If a community determines their needs are not accommodated, they may petition the manager of the project. (A District may set up another mechanism for reaching consensus with a community.)

Determining the context classification will be based on multiple land development and transportation factors. Undoubtedly, trade-offs and balancing among these factors will influence the context classification chosen for a specific state project. In some situations, the transportation context may take precedence. For instance, interstates and limited access facilities are considered "complete" regardless of the nearby communities. In other situations, the context classification may take precedence, for instance, in an area where the community has a long standing, well-documented plan and implementation system for creating a new vision.
Minutes
Lake-Sumter Metropolitan Planning Organization
Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) Meeting

Wednesday, January 11, 2017
Regular Meeting, 1:30 p.m.

1616 South 14th Street
Leesburg, Florida 34748
Phone (352) 315-0170 – Fax (352) 315-0993

OPENING
Chairman Richard Baier called the meeting to order at 1:30 p.m.; and confirmed the meeting was properly noticed and a quorum was present.

Members Present
Richard Baier, Chairman
Melanie Peavy, Vice-Chairman
Fred Schneider
Kyle Mills
Stephen Cross
Tom Carrino
DC Maudlin
Dolly Miller
Joyce Heffington
Vince Sandersfeld
Antonio Fabre
Sumter County
City of Wildwood
Lake County
Sumter County/Transit
Town of Astatula
City of Eustis
City of Leesburg
City of Mascotte
City of Minneola
City of Mount Dora
City of Tavares

Members Absent
Tomika Monterville
Denise Lee
Gary La Venia
Thad Carroll
Aaron Mercer
Lake County/Transit
City of Bushnell
City of Fruitland Park
Town of Lady Lake
City of Umatilla

Staff Present
T.J. Fish
Mike Woods
Francis Franco
Doris LeMay
MPO Executive Director
Transportation Planner
GIS Manager
Executive Assistant

Others Present
Vickie Wyche
Joan Carter
Tom Werner
FDOT
FDOT
City of Clermont
I. REPORTS
   A. Florida Department of Transportation: Vickie Wyche provided updates
   B. Florida’s Turnpike Enterprise—Carol Scott provided updates
   C. Lake County Public Works, Economic Growth, Transit—Fred Schneider noted the Lake County reports are attached in Agenda Package
   D. Sumter County Public Works, Transit—Richard Baier provided updates and noted the Sumter County reports are included in Agenda Package.
   E. School Districts—None
   F. Municipalities—None
   G. MPO Staff—Various members of staff provided updates

II. AGENDA UPDATE
   Action Item B and F removed from Agenda

III. COMMENTS FROM THE GENERAL PUBLIC ON ANY AGENDA ITEMS
   None

IV. PRESENTATION
   A. Lake County Transit Development Plan (TDP) Update—Kristina Wright Bowen, Lake County Community Services, provided an overview of the annual update to the TDP.
   B. Sumter Advanced Traffic Systems Management (ATSM) Master Plan. Richard Baier, Sumter County and Brian Kanely, Senior Traffic Engineer, Volkert, Inc. presented the results of the master planning process to deploy Intelligent Transportation Systems technology.

V. ACTION ITEMS
   A. Approval of November 9, 2016 Meeting Minutes
      Motion was made by DC Maudlin to approve the November 9, 2016 Meeting Minutes, seconded by Kyle Mills—motion passed 11-0.
   B. Recommend Approval to Amend FY 2016/17–2020/21 Transportation Improvement Program
      No Action Taken—Pulled From Agenda
   C. Recommend Approval of Draft Legislative Positions and Priorities
      T.J. Fish provided a brief explanation of the Draft Legislative Positions and Priorities. Fred Schneider provided updates of cost estimates. Discussion Continued. Motion was made by Fred Schneider to approve the Draft Legislative Positions and Priorities with the updates, seconded by Stephen Cross—motion passed 11-0.
   D. Draft Policy 2017-1—Transportation Systems Management and Operations (TSM&O)
      T.J. Fish provided a brief explanation of Draft Policy 2017-1. Motion was made by Kyle Mills to approve the Draft Policy 2017-1 with the revisions mentioned, seconded by Vince Sandersfeld—motion passed 11-0.
   E. Approve Update of Bylaws
T.J. Fish provided a brief explanation of the By-Laws for the Technical Advisory Committee. Richard Baier made note of the wording that was to be added under Purpose Responsibilities and Functions of the TAC dealing with the various policy issues. **Motion** was made by Stephen Cross to approve the Technical Advisory Committee Bylaws with the amendment noted, seconded by Kyle Mills – **motion passed 11-0.**

**F. Recommend Approval of Transportation Impact Analysis (TIA) Methodology**

T.J. Fish noted the Transportation Impact Analysis (TIA) Methodology would be brought back in February. Discussion Continued.

**No Action Taken – Pulled From Agenda**

**VI. DISCUSSION ITEMS**

**A. List of Priority Projects – Submittal of New Projects**

T.J. Fish gave a brief update of the annual process of developing the 2017 List of Priority Projects. A Subcommittee consisting of Richard Baier, Melanie Peavy, Fred Schneider, and DC Maudlin, will meet on February 8, 2017 to review the List of Priority Projects. Discussion Continued.

**B. Regional Analysis of Major Intersections**

T.J. Fish gave a brief update of the Regional Analysis of Major Intersections. Discussion Continued.

**C. TMS Update: Interlocal Agreement and FY 2017/17 Invoicing**

T.J. Fish provided a brief update of the Interlocal Agreement and FY 2016/17 Invoicing.

**D. State Gas Tax Increase**

T.J. Fish provided a brief update of the State Gas Tax Increase.

**E. Assignment of National Environmental Protection Act (NEPA) Responsibilities to the Florida Department of Transportation**

T.J. Fish provided a brief update of the National Environmental Protection Act.

**VII. PROJECT UPDATES**

T.J. Fish gave a brief update of various projects and also noted a report is included the Agenda Package.

**VIII. CONFIRMATION OF REPRESENTATIVE ATTENDING GOVERNING BOARD MEETING**

Richard Baier confirmed he will be attending the Governing Board Meeting.

**IX. ADJOURNMENT**

**Motion** was made by Vince Sandersfeld, seconded by Stephen Cross to adjourn meeting. Meeting adjourned at 2:50 p.m.

__________________________
Richard Baier, Chairman
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RANK</th>
<th>FM NUMBER</th>
<th>PROJECT NAME</th>
<th>FROM</th>
<th>TO</th>
<th>SPONSOR/ LOCATION</th>
<th>PROJECT DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>FUNDED PHASES</th>
<th>FISCAL YEAR</th>
<th>REQUEST FOR NEW FUNDING</th>
<th>COST ESTIMATE</th>
<th>NOTES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4098701</td>
<td>SR 44</td>
<td>SR 500 (US 441) SR 44/E ORANGE AVE</td>
<td>FDOT</td>
<td>WIDEN TO 4 LANES</td>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>2013/1 4</td>
<td>CST</td>
<td>$16 M</td>
<td>FDOT PROJECT APPLICATION COMPLETE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>434912-2</td>
<td>CR 470 - Segment 2</td>
<td>TP EAST RAMPS</td>
<td>BAY RD</td>
<td>WIDEN TO 4 LANES</td>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>2012/1 3</td>
<td>CST</td>
<td>$9 M</td>
<td>FDOT CANDIDATE PROJECT Tentatively FUNDED PD&amp;E IN FY19, PE IN FY21 (NEED TO UPDATE THE PRIOR PROJECT PHASES)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2383940</td>
<td>SR 500/US 441</td>
<td>PERKINS ST</td>
<td>SR 44 (E OXIE AVE)</td>
<td>FDOT</td>
<td>WIDEN TO 6 LANES</td>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>2017/1 2</td>
<td>CST</td>
<td>TBD BY FDOT</td>
<td>FDOT PROJECT APPLICATION COMPLETE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4293561</td>
<td>SR 500 (US 441) SR 44</td>
<td>SR 46/WEKIWA COTTN</td>
<td>FDOT</td>
<td>WIDEN TO 6 LANES</td>
<td>PE ROW</td>
<td>2013/1 4</td>
<td>2017/1 8</td>
<td>ADVANCE ROW TO 2016/17, CST 2017/18</td>
<td>$24 M</td>
<td>FDOT PROJECT APPLICATION COMPLETE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>430251-4</td>
<td>CR 466A PHASE 3 B</td>
<td>CENTURY AVE.</td>
<td>JUST EAST OF TIMBERTOP RD</td>
<td>LC</td>
<td>WIDENING TO 4 LANES</td>
<td>PE ROW</td>
<td>2017/1 8</td>
<td>CST</td>
<td>$7 M</td>
<td>APPLICATION SUBMITTED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>4358931</td>
<td>SOUTH LAKE TRAIL, PHASE 4</td>
<td>VILLA CITY ROAD</td>
<td>VAN FLEET TRAIL</td>
<td>FDOT</td>
<td>MIXED USE TRAIL</td>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>2018/19</td>
<td>CST</td>
<td>$6.5 M</td>
<td>FUNDED FOR CST IN OUTER YEARS SUNTRAIL PROJECT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>C-470 SIDEWALK</td>
<td>CR 436</td>
<td>OUTLET BRIDGE</td>
<td>SC</td>
<td>SIDEWALK</td>
<td>NA DSB</td>
<td>$172 K</td>
<td>APPLICATION COMPLETE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>US 27 ATMS SR 44</td>
<td>SR 46</td>
<td>SE HWY 42</td>
<td>FDOT</td>
<td>ATMS FOR CORRIDOR</td>
<td>NA DSB</td>
<td>$1.60 M</td>
<td>FDOT PROJECT APPLICATION COMPLETE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>LAKE COUNTY ATMS.NOW PROJECT</td>
<td>LC</td>
<td>CENTRAL MGMT PLATFORM SYSTEM</td>
<td>STUDY</td>
<td>CST/EQUIPMENT PURCHASE/INSTALLATION</td>
<td>$99 K</td>
<td>APPLICATION COMPLETE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>OXIE AVE (SR 44) COMPLETE STREETS</td>
<td>12TH STREET</td>
<td>US 441</td>
<td>LEES</td>
<td>ACCESS MANAGEMENT/MEDIAN/Safety Improvements</td>
<td>STUDY</td>
<td>2015/16</td>
<td>CST</td>
<td>$36 K</td>
<td>CST TO BE FUNDED IN FY 2017 WITH SR 44 RESURFACING - REMOVE FROM CST TABLE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>HARTWOOD MARSH RD PAVED SHOULDER</td>
<td>HANCOCK RD</td>
<td>NEW CR 455 (HARTLE RD)</td>
<td>LC</td>
<td>NEW PAVED SHOULDER</td>
<td>PE</td>
<td>2017/1 8</td>
<td>CST</td>
<td>$2 M</td>
<td>APPLICATION SUBMITTED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>4372961</td>
<td>C-575 BRIDGE OVER SPRING RUN</td>
<td>BRIDGE ID# 184052</td>
<td>SC</td>
<td>REPAIR/REHABILITATE BRIDGE</td>
<td>STUDY</td>
<td>2014/1 5</td>
<td>DSB</td>
<td>$10 K</td>
<td>APPLICATION COMPLETE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>439224-3</td>
<td>SOUTH BUENA VISTA BLVD N ODELL CIR</td>
<td>SOUTH OF S ODELL CIR</td>
<td>SC</td>
<td>RESURFACING</td>
<td>NA DSB</td>
<td>$1.29 M</td>
<td>APPLICATION COMPLETE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>430233</td>
<td>CR 47B</td>
<td>CR 471</td>
<td>CENTER HILL CITY LIMIT</td>
<td>SC</td>
<td>RESURFACING</td>
<td>NA DSB</td>
<td>$1.7 M</td>
<td>APPLICATION COMPLETE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>C-466</td>
<td>US 301</td>
<td>CR 505</td>
<td>SC</td>
<td>WIDEN TO 4 LANES</td>
<td>? ?</td>
<td>CST</td>
<td>$8.28 M</td>
<td>APPLICATION COMPLETE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEW</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>ITS</td>
<td>LT</td>
<td>ITS FIBER INFRASTRUCTURE</td>
<td>STUDY</td>
<td>2018/1 9</td>
<td>CST</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>APPLICATION SUBMITTED - STUDY PHASE UNDERWAY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEW</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>FUND US 441</td>
<td>Lincoln Avenue</td>
<td>Donnelly Street/SR 44</td>
<td>MD</td>
<td>UTILITY RELOCATION</td>
<td>CST</td>
<td>$4.3M</td>
<td>NEW APPLICATION COMPLETE - FUNDED PROJECT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Orange hi-lites are funded projects that need to move to next phase or off the list.
Green hi-lites are new projects submitted this year.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RANK</th>
<th>FN NUMBER</th>
<th>PROJECT NAME</th>
<th>FROM</th>
<th>TO</th>
<th>SPONSOR/ LOCATION</th>
<th>PROJECT DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>FUNDED PHASE(S)</th>
<th>FISCAL YEAR(S)</th>
<th>REQUEST FOR NEW FUNDING</th>
<th>COST ESTIMATE</th>
<th>NOTES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4309752</td>
<td>WEKIVA TRAIL SEGMENT 1</td>
<td>Tremain Street</td>
<td>CR 437</td>
<td>LC/MD</td>
<td>MIXED USE TRAIL</td>
<td>PE</td>
<td>2015/1</td>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>$10 M</td>
<td>PE PHASE UNDERWAY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4309753</td>
<td>WEKIVA TRAIL SEGMENT 2</td>
<td>CR 437</td>
<td>RED TAIL BLVD</td>
<td>LC</td>
<td>MIXED USE TRAIL</td>
<td>PE</td>
<td>2015/1</td>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>$7 M</td>
<td>PE PHASE UNDERWAY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4270561</td>
<td>SR 50 REALIGNMENT/ SOUTH LAKE TRAIL, PHASE 3</td>
<td>CRITTERDEN RD</td>
<td>VILLA CITY</td>
<td>FDOT</td>
<td>REALIGNMENT</td>
<td>PD&amp;E</td>
<td>PE</td>
<td>2016/1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>ROW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4354711</td>
<td>SOUTH SUMTER CONNECTOR TRAIL</td>
<td>CRITTENDEN RD</td>
<td>VILLA CITY</td>
<td>FDOT</td>
<td>MIXED USE TRAIL</td>
<td>PE</td>
<td>2015/1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>$9 M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4354761</td>
<td>CR 514 INTERCHANGE</td>
<td>I-75</td>
<td>FDOT</td>
<td>NEW INTERCHANGE</td>
<td>PD&amp;E</td>
<td>PE</td>
<td>2016/1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>4301321</td>
<td>SR 35 (US 301)</td>
<td>C-470 (W)</td>
<td>SR 44</td>
<td>FDOT</td>
<td>WIDEN TO 4 LANES</td>
<td>PD&amp;E</td>
<td>PE</td>
<td>2014/1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>ROW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>4355411</td>
<td>CITRUS GROVE ROAD PHASE 2</td>
<td>US 27</td>
<td>N HANCOCK RD</td>
<td>LC</td>
<td>WIDEN TO 4 LANES</td>
<td>PE</td>
<td>2014/1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>$1 M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>4374641</td>
<td>JUDORA ROAD/OLOD 441/CR 19A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LC</td>
<td>ROUNDABOUT/INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENT</td>
<td>PE</td>
<td>2017/1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>$200K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>CR 470 - Segment 3</td>
<td>BAY RD</td>
<td>CR 33</td>
<td>FDOT</td>
<td>WIDEN TO 4 LANES</td>
<td>PER</td>
<td>PE</td>
<td>2008/10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>$150 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>CR 48/470 - Segment 4</td>
<td>CR 33</td>
<td>EAST OF PALATLAKAHIA BRIDGE</td>
<td>FDOT</td>
<td>WIDEN TO 4 LANES</td>
<td>PER</td>
<td>PE</td>
<td>2009/10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>$800 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>CR 49121</td>
<td>C-470 - Segment 1</td>
<td>CR 527</td>
<td>SR 91 (FL TPK)</td>
<td>FDOT</td>
<td>WIDEN TO 4 LANES</td>
<td>PD&amp;E</td>
<td>PE</td>
<td>2016/1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>ROW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>2383191</td>
<td>CR 19</td>
<td>CR 48</td>
<td>FDOT</td>
<td>WIDEN TO 4 LANES</td>
<td>PD&amp;E</td>
<td>PE</td>
<td>2014/1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEW</td>
<td>HARTWOOD MARSH RD.</td>
<td>US 27</td>
<td>HANCOCK RD.</td>
<td>LC</td>
<td>WIDENING TO 4 LANES</td>
<td>PE</td>
<td>2016/1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>$2 M</td>
<td>APPLICATION SUBMITTED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEW</td>
<td>RIDGEWOOD ROUNDABOUT</td>
<td>RIDGEWOOD @ CR455</td>
<td></td>
<td>LC</td>
<td>ROUNDABOUT</td>
<td>PE</td>
<td>2017/1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>$300K</td>
<td>APPLICATION SUBMITTED</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Orange hi-lites are funded projects that need to move to next phase or off the list.

Green hi-lites are new projects submitted this year.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RANK</th>
<th>PH NUMBER</th>
<th>PROJECT NAME</th>
<th>FROM</th>
<th>TO</th>
<th>SPONSOR/LLOCATION</th>
<th>PROJECT DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>FUNDED PHASE(S)</th>
<th>FISCAL YEAR</th>
<th>REQUEST FOR NEW FUNDING</th>
<th>COST ESTIMATE</th>
<th>NOTES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>439048-1</td>
<td>EAST ORANGE AVE</td>
<td>FRUITWOOD AVE</td>
<td>SUNRISE LN</td>
<td>LC</td>
<td>NEW SIDEWALK STUDY</td>
<td>PE 2016/17</td>
<td>172.9K</td>
<td>PE FUNDED IN WP FY2020/21 &quot;MOVE TO ROW&quot; GROUP AS COUNTYWIDE SIDEWALK PROJECT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>439684-1</td>
<td>RADIO RD (TREADWAY ELEMENTARY)</td>
<td>SILVER BLUFF</td>
<td>TREADWAY SCHOOL RD</td>
<td>LC</td>
<td>NEW SIDEWALK STUDY</td>
<td>PE 2016/17</td>
<td>$184.6K</td>
<td>PE FUNDED IN WP FY2020/21 &quot;MOVE TO ROW&quot; GROUP AS COUNTYWIDE SIDEWALK PROJECT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>439686-1</td>
<td>CR 44 BYPASS (DELAND RD EUSTIS MIDDLE SCHOOL)</td>
<td>EAST ORANGE AVE</td>
<td>CYPRESS GROVE RD</td>
<td>LC</td>
<td>NEW SIDEWALK STUDY</td>
<td>PE 2016/17</td>
<td>$70.46K</td>
<td>PE FUNDED IN WP FY2020/21 &quot;MOVE TO ROW&quot; GROUP AS COUNTYWIDE SIDEWALK PROJECT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>ROLLING ACRES ROAD</td>
<td>US 27/US441</td>
<td>CR 466</td>
<td>LC</td>
<td>WIDEN TO 4 LANES</td>
<td>PD&amp;E</td>
<td>2018/19</td>
<td>PE</td>
<td>$3M</td>
<td>APPLICATION COMPLETE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>4363601</td>
<td>BLACK BEAR SCENIC TRAIL</td>
<td>CR 314A MARION COUNTY</td>
<td>SR 17 VOLUSIA COUNTY</td>
<td>FDOT</td>
<td>SUNTRAIL PROJECT</td>
<td>PD&amp;E</td>
<td>2019/20</td>
<td>PE 2021/22</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>FDOT PROJECT - FEASIBILITY STUDY UNDERWAY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>CR 437 REALIGNMENT</td>
<td>ADAIR AVE</td>
<td>SR 44</td>
<td>LC</td>
<td>REALIGNMENT &amp; MULTI-MODAL DESIGN PHASE</td>
<td>PD&amp;E</td>
<td>2017/18</td>
<td>PE</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>APPLICATION COMPLETE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>ROUND LAKE ROAD EXTENSION/CR 439</td>
<td>LAKE/ORANGE CL</td>
<td>CR 44</td>
<td>LC</td>
<td>NEW ALIGNMENT/ADD LANES</td>
<td>PD&amp;E</td>
<td>2018/19</td>
<td>PE</td>
<td>$1M</td>
<td>APPLICATION SUBMITTED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>US 27</td>
<td>SR 44</td>
<td>US 441</td>
<td>LEES COMPLETE STREETS</td>
<td>STUDY</td>
<td>PE</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>FUNDING FY2016/17 UNDERWAY - MOVE TO DESIGN TABLE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>4349101</td>
<td>91 (FL TPK)</td>
<td>CR 470</td>
<td>SR 25 (US 27) (N)</td>
<td>FTE</td>
<td>WIDEN TO 8 LANES</td>
<td>PD&amp;E</td>
<td>PE</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>FTE PROJECT - MOVED FROM ROW TABLE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>91 (FL TPK)</td>
<td>CR 35 (US 301)</td>
<td>CR 470</td>
<td>FTE</td>
<td>WIDEN TO 8 LANES</td>
<td>PD&amp;E</td>
<td>PE</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>FTE PROJECT - MOVED FROM ROW TABLE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEW</td>
<td>C-501</td>
<td>C-468</td>
<td>C-470</td>
<td>SC</td>
<td>WIDEN TO 4 LANES</td>
<td>PER</td>
<td>2014/15</td>
<td>PE</td>
<td>$1.4 M</td>
<td>APPLICATION COMPLETE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEW</td>
<td>SORRENTO AVENUE</td>
<td>ORANGE AVE</td>
<td>HOSEN ST</td>
<td>COMPLETE STREETS</td>
<td>STUDY</td>
<td>PE</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>APPLICATION COMPLETE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEW</td>
<td>441 OLD US 441</td>
<td>NORTH DISSTON AVENUE</td>
<td>MCDONALD ST</td>
<td>TAV/MD</td>
<td>COMPLETE STREETS</td>
<td>PER</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>PE</td>
<td>$2.1M</td>
<td>APPLICATION COMPLETE FOR DESIGN PHASE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEW</td>
<td>PICCIOLA RD</td>
<td>US441</td>
<td>SAIL FISH AVE.</td>
<td>LC</td>
<td>NEW SIDEWALK</td>
<td>STUDY</td>
<td>2017/18</td>
<td>PE</td>
<td>$115.3K</td>
<td>APPLICATION COMPLETE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEW</td>
<td>HARTLE RD/ CR 455</td>
<td>LOST LAKE RD</td>
<td>HARTWOD MARSH RD</td>
<td>LC</td>
<td>WIDEN TO 4 LANES</td>
<td>PD&amp;E</td>
<td>2017/18</td>
<td>PE</td>
<td>$1.2M</td>
<td>APPLICATION SUBMITTED</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEW</td>
<td>SUMTER COUNTY ITS (PHASE 1)</td>
<td>SC</td>
<td>ITS</td>
<td>STUDY</td>
<td>2016/17</td>
<td>PE</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>FUNDING FY2016/17 UNDERWAY - MOVE TO DESIGN TABLE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Orange hi-lites are funded projects that need to move to next phase or off the list.

Green hi-lites are new projects submitted this year.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>FM Number</th>
<th>PROJECT NAME</th>
<th>FROM</th>
<th>TO</th>
<th>SPONSOR/ LOCATION</th>
<th>PROJECT DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>FUNDED PHASE(S)</th>
<th>FISCAL YEAR</th>
<th>REQUEST FOR NEW FUNDING</th>
<th>COST ESTIMATE</th>
<th>NOTES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>435740-1</td>
<td>US 27 &amp; SR 44</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FDOT</td>
<td>INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENT</td>
<td>PER/ STUDY</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>PD&amp;E 2016/17</td>
<td>$1 M</td>
<td>FDOT PROJECT- FUNDED IN FY2017- MOVE TO DESIGN TABLE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>NORTH LAKE TRAIL</td>
<td>CR 450</td>
<td>SR 40</td>
<td>UM</td>
<td>NEW TRAIL</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>PD&amp;E 2016/17</td>
<td>2017/18</td>
<td>APPLICATION COMPLETE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>SR 44 &amp; ORANGE AVENUE</td>
<td>CR 46A</td>
<td></td>
<td>FDOT</td>
<td>WIDEN TO 4 LANES</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>PD&amp;E 2016/17</td>
<td>TBD BY FDOT</td>
<td>FDOT PROJECT APPLICATION COMPLETE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>435859-1</td>
<td>W. SR 50</td>
<td>US 98 (HERNANDO CO.)</td>
<td>CR 33 (LAKE COUNTY)</td>
<td>FDOT</td>
<td>WIDEN TO 4 LANES</td>
<td>STUDY</td>
<td>2015/16</td>
<td>PD&amp;E 2016/17</td>
<td>$1 M</td>
<td>FDOT PROJECT - PD&amp;E FUNDED IN 2016/17 - DESIGN FUNDED IN FY 2018 $4M -MOVE TO ROW TABLE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>SR 19</td>
<td>SR 50</td>
<td>CR 455</td>
<td>FDOT</td>
<td>WIDEN TO 4 LANES</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>PD&amp;E 2016/17</td>
<td>TBD BY FDOT</td>
<td>FDOT PROJECT APPLICATION COMPLETE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>US 27</td>
<td>CR 561 (S)</td>
<td>PL TPK NORTH RAMPS</td>
<td>FDOT</td>
<td>WIDEN TO 6 LANES</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>PD&amp;E 2016/17</td>
<td>TBD BY FDOT</td>
<td>FDOT PROJECT APPLICATION COMPLETE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Orange hi-lites are funded projects that need to move to next phase or off the list.

Green hi-lites are new projects submitted this year.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROJECT NAME</th>
<th>FROM</th>
<th>TO</th>
<th>SPONSOR/LOCATION</th>
<th>PROJECT DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>FUNDED AMOUNT</th>
<th>FISCAL YEAR</th>
<th>REQUEST FOR NEW FUNDING</th>
<th>COST ESTIMATE</th>
<th>NOTES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FUNDED</td>
<td>LAKE COUNTY INTELLIGENT TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS (ITS) MASTER PLAN</td>
<td>LC</td>
<td>DEVELOP ITS MASTER PLAN</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>STUDY 2015/16</td>
<td>$280 K</td>
<td>FUNDED MOVE TO DESIGN TABLE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EAST AVENUE</td>
<td>GRAND HIGHWAY</td>
<td>KENNEDY AVE</td>
<td>CLR</td>
<td>COMPLETE STREET</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>STUDY 2017/18</td>
<td>$110K</td>
<td>APPLICATION COMPLETE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US 301</td>
<td>CLEVELAND AVE (C-466A)</td>
<td>HOEY ST (C-44A)</td>
<td>WW</td>
<td>COMPLETE STREET</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>STUDY 2017/18</td>
<td>$200K</td>
<td>APPLICATION COMPLETE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WEBSTER RD 471</td>
<td>CR 478A</td>
<td>WW 10TH AVE/CR 730</td>
<td>WEB</td>
<td>COMPLETE STREET</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>STUDY 2017/18</td>
<td>$100K</td>
<td>APPLICATION COMPLETE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WOLF BRANCH INNOVATION BLVD</td>
<td>CR 437</td>
<td>ROUND LAKE RD</td>
<td>MD</td>
<td>NEW 4 LANE</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>STUDY 2017/18</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>APPLICATION COMPLETE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FUNDED</td>
<td>UMATILLA SR 19</td>
<td>CR 450-A</td>
<td>OLD MILL STREAM RV PARK</td>
<td>UMA</td>
<td>COMPLETE STREET</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>STUDY 2016/17</td>
<td>$170K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ORANGE ST &amp; BROAD ST (SR 50)</td>
<td>ALABAMA AVE</td>
<td>SR 33</td>
<td>GRV</td>
<td>COMPLETE STREET</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>PIJ COMPLETE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAKE DENHAM TRAIL</td>
<td>TRAIL HEAD AT W MAIN ST</td>
<td>LEESBURG</td>
<td>SR 50</td>
<td>NEW TRAIL</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>STUDY 2017/18</td>
<td>$150K</td>
<td>APPLICATION COMPLETE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EAST MAIN ST IMPROVEMENTS</td>
<td>CANAL ST @ MAIN ST</td>
<td>LEES</td>
<td>SR 44 @ MAIN ST</td>
<td>CURB &amp; GUTTER, ADA UPGRADING, ONS STREET PARKING</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>STUDY 2017/18</td>
<td>$113 K</td>
<td>APPLICATION COMPLETE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WEST MAIN ST IMPROVEMENTS</td>
<td>W MAIN ST @ CR 468</td>
<td>LEES</td>
<td>W MAIN ST @ US 27</td>
<td>CURB &amp; GUTTER, ADA UPGRADING, ONS STREET PARKING</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>STUDY 2017/18</td>
<td>$100 K</td>
<td>APPLICATION COMPLETE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-75 EXIT 309 SUMTER SCENIC BYWAY PROJECT</td>
<td>SUMTER SCENIC BYWAY/SC</td>
<td>ENHANCEMENT OF INTERSTATE EXIT TO NATIONAL CEMETARY</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>STUDY 2017/18</td>
<td>$50 K</td>
<td>APPLICATION COMPLETE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MONTVERDE GREENWAY TRAIL EXTENSION</td>
<td>EXISTING GREENWAY TRAIL TERMINUS</td>
<td>STRETCHER PROPERTY</td>
<td>MUN</td>
<td>3/42 FT EXTENSION TO EXISTING TRAIL</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>STUDY 2017/18</td>
<td>$50 K</td>
<td><em>MOVE TO CANDIDATE TABLE</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIDGECWOOD ROUNDABOUT</td>
<td>RIDGECWOOD @ CR 455</td>
<td>LC</td>
<td>ROUNDABOUT</td>
<td>STUDY</td>
<td>2017/18</td>
<td>PE</td>
<td>$50K</td>
<td>APPLICATION SUBMITTED</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEW</td>
<td>RUSTIS SR 19 COMPLETE STREETS</td>
<td>EUS</td>
<td>COMPLETE STREET</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>STUDY 2017/18</td>
<td>$220K</td>
<td>APPLICATION COMPLETE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEW</td>
<td>SR 50 COMPLETE STREETS</td>
<td>CR 561 (12th STREET)</td>
<td>EAST AVENUE</td>
<td>CLR</td>
<td>COMPLETE STREET</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>STUDY</td>
<td>$254K</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Orange hi-lites are funded projects that need to move to next phase or off the list.
Green hi-lites are new projects submitted this year.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROJECT NAME</th>
<th>FROM</th>
<th>TO</th>
<th>SPONSOR/ LOCATION</th>
<th>PROJECT DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>FUNDED PHASE(S)</th>
<th>FISCAL YEAR</th>
<th>REQUEST FOR NEW FUNDING</th>
<th>COST ESTIMATE</th>
<th>NOTES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WELLNESS WAY/ FM4357231</td>
<td>US 27</td>
<td>SR 429</td>
<td>LC</td>
<td>NEW ROAD, ALTERNATIVE CORRIDOR EVALUATION</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>STUDY</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td></td>
<td>CST tentatively funded in FY 17.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAGAN’S CROSSING PEDESTRIAN OVERPASS</td>
<td>LC</td>
<td>NEW US 27 OVERPASS FOR PEDESTRIANS</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>STUDY</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>LC RESOLUTION APPROVED NO PIA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOOKS ST</td>
<td>HANCOCK RD</td>
<td>ENGL JAHNA RD</td>
<td>LC</td>
<td>WIDEN TO 4 LANES</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>PE</td>
<td>$800 K</td>
<td>LC RESOLUTION APPROVED NO PIA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOSGATE ROAD EXTENSION</td>
<td>GRASSY LAKE RD</td>
<td>US 27</td>
<td>MIN</td>
<td>NEW ROAD</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>PE</td>
<td>Need new application. PROJECT ADDED TO LOPP ON 2/25, WE HAVE AN APPLICATION ONLINE STARTED BY LC, NO INFO BEYOND PROJECT LIMITS AND CONTACT INFO; DEVELOPER TO CONSTRUCT ROAD PER MINNEOLA; PURGE APP FROM TABLE AND WEBSITE?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PD&E = PROJECT DEVELOPMENT AND ENVIRONMENTAL STUDY  
CST = CONSTRUCTION  
PE = PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING (DESIGN)  
ROW = RIGHT-OF-WAY  
REQUESTING AGENCY & LOCATION CODE:  
AST = ASTATULA  
BUSH = BÜSCHNELL  
CLB = CLEMONT  
CLR = CLERMONT  
CLR = CITY  
FT = FULTON PARK  
GAV = GEORGETOWN  
HOW = HONEY IN THE HILLS  
LC = LAKE COUNTY  
LL = LADY LAKE  
LEES = LEESBURG  
LSMPO = LAKE-SUMTER MPO  
MD = MACCABEE  
MIN = MINNEOLA  
MWD = MONTICELLO  
MON = MONTEVERDE  
OW = OKEECHOBEE  
SC = SUMTER COUNTY  
HOW = HOWEY-IN-THE-HILLS  
TAV = TAVARES  
UMA = UMATILLA  
WW = WILDWOOD  
LEES = LEESBURG

* ELIGIBILITY TO BE DETERMINED OR APPLICATION PARTIALLY COMPLETED OR NEED FOR APPLICATION TO BE DETERMINED.

Orange hi-lites are funded projects that need to move to next phase or off the list.
Green hi-lites are new projects submitted this year.
January 24, 2017

The Honorable Leslie Campione, Chairman
Lake-Sumter Metropolitan Planning Organization
1616 South 14th Street
Leesburg, Florida 34748

Dear Chairman Campione:

Thank you for submitting the Lake-Sumter Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) for fiscal years 2016/2017 through 2020/2021. In accordance with Section 339.175(8)(g), Florida Statutes, the Department of Economic Opportunity (Department) reviews each MPO’s annual TIP for consistency with the comprehensive plans of affected local governments for the purpose of identifying projects that are inconsistent with those plans.

Consistency of the TIP with local government comprehensive plans is important: transportation projects developed with federal aid require consistency with local plans to the extent feasible; and, inclusion of TIP projects in local government comprehensive plans serves as an acknowledgement of those local governments’ support for the projects.

Our review of the Lake-Sumter MPO TIP indicates that it is consistent with the comprehensive plans of all of the MPO’s member local governments.

We appreciate your ongoing efforts to coordinate with your member local governments to ensure that the transportation projects in your TIP are consistent with local government comprehensive plans. Should you have any questions concerning this determination or the review process, please contact Matt Preston at (850) 717-8490.

Sincerely,

James D. Stansbury, Chief
Bureau of Community Planning

JS/mrp

cc: Mr. T.J. Fish, Executive Director, Lake-Sumter MPO
   Ms. Vickie Wyche, MPO Liaison, Florida Department of Transportation
   Mr. Mark Reichert, MPO Statewide Coordinator, Florida Department of Transportation
1. Reform the Transportation Regional Incentive Program (TRIP)

2. Oppose Legislation that Requires Local Governments and Their Taxpayers to Pay Costs to Relocate Equipment Belonging to Other Entities

3. Authorize the Indexing of Local Option Fuel Taxes in the Same Manner as State Fuel Taxes are Indexed

4. CR 466A, Phases 3A and 3B, Widening in Fruitland Park (Lake County)

5. Citrus Grove Road Widening in Minneola (Lake County)
POLICY POSITIONS

1. **Reform the Transportation Regional Incentive Program (TRIP)**
   Since created by legislation in 2006, the Transportation Regional Incentive Program has effectively catalyzed regional transportation planning and the development of regional transportation projects. However, the TRIP has only been effective when sufficient funds are available for the provision of matching grants. The 50 percent of state matching grant funding has attracted considerable investment by local governments and by the private sector for regional transportation projects like roadway projects connecting counties. Currently, state law provides for numerous drawdowns from the fund rendering the program in effective statewide.

2. **Oppose Legislation that Requires Local Governments and Their Taxpayers to Pay Costs to Relocate Equipment Belonging to Other Entities**
   Local governments should not be mandated to cover equipment relocation costs for utilities not part of the local government to relocate from rights-of-way or easements upon, over, under or along a roadway. In the 2016 Florida Legislative Session, CS/SB 416 (Flores) and HB 461 (Ingram) passed both the House and Senate and was signed into law by the governor. The law requires local governments, and not utilities, to bear the cost of relocating a utility’s equipment if such equipment is located within a public utility easement. CS/SB 416 passed the Senate (34-4) and the House (109-4).

3. **Authorize the Indexing of Local Option Fuel Taxes in the Same Manner as State Fuel Taxes are Indexed**
   January 1, 2017, per statute, Florida’s fuel tax automatically increased per the Consumer Price Index. This incremental approach has allowed gas taxes to better keep pace with transportation needs without the annual increases gaining much notice at the gas pump. The same indexing should be authorized for local option fuel taxes.

REGIONAL PROJECTS

1. **CR 466A, Phases 3A and 3B, Widening in Fruitland Park (Lake County)**
   The east-west regionally-significant county road connects US 301 in Wildwood through The Villages east through Fruitland Park to US 27/441. The roadway is four lanes for most of the corridor except for Phases 3A and 3B in Fruitland Park. Construction funding in the amount of $7.5 million is needed.
2. **Citrus Grove Road Widening in Minneola (Lake County)**
   With the Minneola Interchange to open in June 2017, Citrus Grove Road is a planned four-lane east-west connection between the new interchange and US 27. Funding for construction is needed in the amount of $5 million.

3. **Wekiva Trail (Mount Dora and Lake County)**
   With design of the trail underway from Mount Dora east to the Wekiva Parkway, funding for right-of-way and construction is needed in order to connect downtown Mount Dora to the Coast to Coast Connector Trail in both Seminole and Orange counties. The right-of-way costs are estimated at $9 million.

4. **North Lake Trail (Umatilla and Lake County)**
   Funding is needed for a study of the concept to connect Umatilla north parallel to SR 19 to the future Black Bear Scenic Trail planned along the SR 40 corridor through the Ocala National Forest. The project would be an eco-tourism opportunity for Umatilla and northern Lake County. The study is estimated at $1 million.

5. **Sorrento Avenue (SR 46) Complete Streets Project (Lake County)**
   Before SR 46 is transferred to Lake County following the completion of the Wekiva Parkway project, improvements to the corridor are needed to enhance the roadway as a main street for the Mount Plymouth/Sorrento community. $5 million in funding is the estimated need.

**BILLS BEING MONITORED**

**HB 47: Texting While Driving** – (Stark; Slosberg - CO-INTRODUCERS: McGhee; Smith) – Revises penalties for violations of Florida Ban on Texting While Driving Law; provides enhanced penalties for such violations when committed in school zone or school crossing; removes requirement that specified provisions be enforced as secondary action by law enforcement agency. (Also see HB 69)

**SB 308: Expressway Authorities** – (Artiles) - Repealing provisions relating to the Florida Expressway Authority Act and related provisions, which allows the creation and operation of expressway authorities; transferring the governance and control of any expressway authority formed under the Florida Expressway Authority Act to the Florida Turnpike Enterprise; requiring revenues collected on the expressway system to be considered turnpike revenues, etc.

**SB 340: Transportation Network Companies** - (Brandes – CO-INTRODUCER: Galvano) - Providing that a transportation network company (TNC) driver is not required to register certain vehicles as commercial motor vehicles or for-hire vehicles; providing requirements for a TNC’s digital network; providing that specified automobile insurers have a right of contribution against other insurers that provide automobile insurance to the same TNC drivers in satisfaction of certain coverage requirements under certain circumstances, etc. (Also see HB 221)
INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT BETWEEN
THE LAKE-SUMTER METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION (MPO),
LAKE COUNTY, FLORIDA, AND SUMTER COUNTY, FLORIDA,
AND THE MUNICIPALITIES OF ASTATULA, CLERMONT, EUSTIS,
FRUITLAND PARK, GROVELAND, HOWEY-IN-THE-HILLS, LADY LAKE,
LEESBURG, MASCOTTE, MINNEOLA, MONTVERDE,
MOUNT DORA, TAVARES, AND UMATILLA
FOR FUNDING AND IMPLEMENTATION OF THE TRANSPORTATION
MANAGEMENT SYSTEM AND FOR CREATION AND MANAGEMENT OF
A FUND FOR NON-GRANT-ELIGIBLE EXPENSES

THIS INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT entered on the date indicated below is by and
between the LAKE-SUMTER METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION,
hereinafter referred to as the “MPO” and LAKE COUNTY, FLORIDA, a political
subdivision of the State of Florida, SUMTER COUNTY, FLORIDA, a political
subdivision of the State of Florida and ASTATULA, CLERMONT, EUSTIS,
FRUITLAND PARK, GROVELAND, HOWEY-IN-THE-HILLS, LADY LAKE,
LEESBURG, MASCOTTE, MINNEOLA, MONTVERDE, MOUNT DORA, TAVARES,
AND UMATILLA, Florida municipal corporations, collectively referred to as the
“parties”. For purposes of this Agreement, the counties and municipalities that are parties
to this Agreement are collectively referred to as “the local governments”.

RECITALS

WHEREAS, the MPO was created through an interlocal agreement among Lake
County, Sumter County, and the 14 municipalities of Lake County and was approved by
Governor Jeb Bush on December 9, 2003; and

WHEREAS, in 2007, an interlocal agreement was approved by the MPO and the
member local governments of the MPO to create a locally-funded Transportation
Concurrency Management System, which evolved into the current Transportation
Management System (TMS); and

WHEREAS, the MPO was re-designated in 2010 by Governor Charlie Crist to
include all of Sumter County and Sumter County’s five municipalities; and

WHEREAS, the MPO is responsible for managing a continuing, cooperative, and
comprehensive transportation planning process for Lake County and Sumter County; and

WHEREAS, transportation management is a growth management principle which
ensures that necessary transportation facilities and services are available concurrent with
the impacts of development; and

WHEREAS, the local governments contemplating new development should assure
that adequate roadway capacity is available concurrent with the impacts of the proposed
development and that the proposed development will not degrade the roadway below the adopted level of service standards; and

WHEREAS, the MPO and the local governments wish to ensure that level of service standards for transportation facilities are maintained throughout the counties and municipalities; and

WHEREAS, the MPO and the local governments are working together to develop a centralized TMS that will facilitate effective intergovernmental coordination on transportation facilities; and

WHEREAS, the MPO will, as part of the centralized TMS, maintain the data to be used by the local governments in making their development approval decisions; and

WHEREAS, local funds from the local governments are provided annually to the MPO for consultant and personnel expenditures associated with management of the TMS; and

WHEREAS, these local funds provided to the MPO are collected through an annual invoicing process that coincides with the local governments’ fiscal years; and

WHEREAS, the MPO incurs certain costs that are not eligible for reimbursement through federal or state grants; therefore, the MPO requires a local funding source to cover non-grant-eligible expenses; and

WHEREAS, the MPO and the local governments entered into an Interlocal Agreement for the Creation, Funding and Implementation of a Master Transportation Management System Program dated November 5, 2012, and desire to continue the arrangement(s) for services made between the parties; and

WHEREAS, the MPO and the local governments seek to enter into a new agreement regarding the TMS by updating certain provisions and providing clarity concerning funding.

NOW, THEREFORE, IN CONSIDERATION of the mutual terms, understandings, conditions, and payments hereinafter set forth, and intending to be legally bound, the parties hereby agree as follows:

Article 1. Recitals

1.1 The foregoing recitals are true and correct and incorporated herein by reference.
Article 2. Purposes

2.1 The purpose of this Agreement is to implement a centralized database of transportation information that all parties to this Agreement may utilize as part of their individual Transportation Management Systems (TMS) as prescribed within their individual comprehensive plans and land development regulations. Data on development applications, vested and existing development, and traffic count information will be provided to the MPO by the local governments. The MPO will maintain a database and model which will show impacts that proposed development will have on the transportation system and will provide results of such modeling to the local governments. Additionally, the purpose of this Agreement is to provide for the allocation of local funds paid into the TMS to include expenditures not eligible for reimbursement through any federal or state grants received by the MPO.

Article 3. TMS Procedure

3.1 In order for the centralized TMS to contain the most current information available, the local governments will provide to the MPO any legally vested trips, any formally reserved trips and certificate of occupancy information. Initial information, to the extent available, shall be provided within ninety (90) days of the effective date of this Agreement, and thereafter during the term of this Agreement on a monthly basis.

3.2 The local governments may submit data from any applicant who is seeking a development approval for a project, including the traffic impact analysis, the number of proposed residential units and amount of non-residential square footage by use in accordance with the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Trip Generation Manual, as amended.

3.3 Upon receipt of such data by the MPO, the following procedure shall be implemented in order to provide the certain local government with the information required in a timely fashion:

1) Provided the data received is determined to be sufficient to issue a report of findings, the MPO will evaluate the data based upon existing road capacity information, including adopted level of service, existing trips, reserved trips and vested trips, and submit a report of its findings within fifteen (15) business days of receipt of the request.

2) If the local government determines that additional information should be factored into the analysis, it may submit its comments for evaluation to the MPO within fifteen (15) business days of the issuance of the MPO’s report for that application.
3) The MPO will review additional information submitted by the local government and provide final comments within fifteen (15) calendar days of the submittal of new information.

4) If the facility is designated by the State of Florida as a SIS (Strategic Intermodal System) facility or if the facility is a non-SIS state facility operating at 90 percent (90%) capacity or greater, the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) will be consulted for comments on proposed impacts. If no comments are received by the MPO from FDOT within thirty (30) days of the MPO’s request, the MPO will issue its report based upon existing data.

3.4 The MPO will not make concurrency determinations on behalf of any local government. The MPO will issue a report of findings based on the data maintained by the MPO and the data submitted by the local government. The responsibility to make concurrency determinations and/or recommend approvals or denials of any development applications remains with the local government.

3.5 The MPO will, upon written request, assist the local governments in assessing needed changes to a comprehensive plan, policies or land development regulations related to transportation or transportation impacts.

Article 4. Term and Termination

4.1 This Agreement shall become effective upon that date of execution of this Agreement by the last party (“effective date”).

4.2 This Agreement shall remain in effect until terminated by a mutual agreement of the parties to this Agreement, or as otherwise provided by law. Any party may withdraw from this Agreement after presenting, in written form, a notice of intent to withdraw presented to the other parties of this Agreement, at least ninety (90) days prior to the intended date of withdrawal. Upon receipt of the notice of intent to withdraw, the Chairman of the MPO is hereby authorized to enter into a written memorandum with the withdrawing party memorializing the withdrawal of the party from the responsibilities of and services to be provided under the terms of this Agreement. The withdrawing party shall record a copy of the memorandum in the Official Records, at its own cost. Upon execution of such memorandum the withdrew party will receive no services under this Agreement.

4.3 If for any reason this Agreement is terminated in its entirety, the TMS and its associated data in the format at the time of termination will be provided to the member local governments at no additional cost.

4.4 The parties agree that the Interlocal Agreement dated November 5, 2012, by and between the parties shall be considered terminated as the effective date of this Agreement.
Article 5. Funding

5.1 Each of the local governments will pay the MPO to fund the TMS an amount based upon the local government’s population percentage relative to the populations of all the other local governments per an annual budget approved by the MPO by May 31 of each year. Invoicing for local funds shall be conducted by the MPO after October 1 of each year.

5.2 The annual budget approved by the MPO by May 31 of each year shall include local funding amounts for the TMS and for the local funds that have been designated to cover the MPO’s costs not eligible for federal or state grant reimbursement. The parties acknowledge and agree that local funds collected pursuant to this Agreement may be used by the MPO, at its discretion, to cover non-grant eligible costs and expenses.

5.3 In each odd numbered year, the population figures and related contributions will be reviewed and adjusted as necessary for population changes. Funding contributions will be adjusted based upon Bureau of Economic and Business Research (BEBR) population figures. The updated information will be provided to each of the local governments by May 31. The new contribution rates shall become effective October 1.

Article 6. TMS Annual Report

6.1 An Annual Report on the status of all facilities included in the TMS shall be published each year and may be used in the MPO annual project prioritization process.


7.1 This Agreement, and any amendments hereto, may be simultaneously executed in several counterparts, each of which executed shall be an original, and such counterparts together shall constitute one and the same instrument.

7.2 Amendments or modifications to this Agreement may only be made by written agreement signed by all parties hereto, with the same formalities as the original Agreement.

7.3 This Agreement shall be recorded in the official public records of each county.

7.4 If any provision of this Agreement is found by a court of competent jurisdiction to be invalid, it shall be considered deleted, and shall not invalidate the remaining provisions. The Agreement shall be interpreted, construed and governed by the laws of the State of Florida and venue shall lie in Lake County, Florida.
Agreement between MPO, Lake County, Sumter County, et al. for Funding and Implementation of the Transportation Management System and for Creation and Management of a Fund for Non-Grant Eligible Expenses

**IN WITNESS WHEREOF**, the parties hereto have made and executed this Interlocal Agreement on the respective dates under each signature, each party by and through its authorized representative.
INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT BETWEEN AMONG THE LAKE-SUMTER METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION (MPO), THE LAKE COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS, FLORIDA AND THE SUMTER COUNTY, FLORIDA BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS AND THE MUNICIPALITIES OF ASTMULA, BUSHNELL, CLERMONT, COLEMAN, EUSTIS, FRUITLAND PARK, GROVELAND, HOWEY-IN-THE-HILLS, LADY LAKE, LEESBURG, MASCOTTE, MINNEOLA, MONTVERDE, MOUNT DORA, TAVARES, UMATILLA, AND WILDWOOD FOR FUNDING AND IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MPO’S TRANSPORTATION MANAGEMENT SYSTEM AND FOR THE CREATION AND MANAGEMENT OF A FUND FOR NON-GRANT-ELIGIBLE EXPENSES

THIS INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT entered on the date indicated below is by and between the LAKE-SUMTER METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION, hereinafter referred to as the “MPO” and LAKE COUNTY, FLORIDA, a political subdivision of the State of Florida, SUMTER COUNTY, FLORIDA, a political subdivision of the State of Florida and ASTATULA, BUSHNELL, CLERMONT, COLEMAN, EUSTIS, FRUITLAND PARK, GROVELAND, HOWEY-IN-THE-HILLS, LADY LAKE, LEESBURG, MASCOTTE, MINNEOLA, MONTVERDE, MOUNT DORA, TAVARES, UMATILLA, AND WILDWOOD, Florida municipal corporations, collectively referred to as the “parties”. For purposes of this Agreement, the counties and municipalities that are parties to this Agreement are collectively referred to as “the local governments”.

RECITALS

WHEREAS, the Lake-Sumter Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) was created through an interlocal agreement among Lake County, Sumter County, and the 14 municipalities of Lake County and was approved by Governor Jeb Bush on December 9, 2003; and

WHEREAS, in 2007, an interlocal agreement was approved by the MPO and the member local governments of the MPO to create a locally-funded Transportation Concurrency Management System, which evolved into the current Transportation Management System (TMS); and

WHEREAS, the MPO was re-designated in 2010 by Governor Charlie Crist to include all of Sumter County and Sumter County’s five municipalities; and

WHEREAS, the MPO is responsible for managing a continuing, cooperative, and comprehensive transportation planning process for Lake County and Sumter County; and
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WHEREAS, transportation management is a growth management principle that ensures necessary transportation facilities and services are available concurrent with the impacts of development; and

WHEREAS, the local governments contemplating new development should assure that adequate roadway capacity is available concurrent with the impacts of the proposed development and that the proposed development will not degrade the roadway below the adopted level of service standards; and

WHEREAS, the counties, the MPO and the municipalities wish to ensure that level of service standards for transportation facilities are maintained throughout the counties and municipalities; and

WHEREAS, the counties, the MPO, and the municipalities are working together to develop a centralized Transportation Management System (TMS) that will facilitate effective intergovernmental coordination on transportation facilities; and

WHEREAS, the MPO will, as part of the centralized TMS, maintain the data to be used by the counties and the municipalities in making their development approval decisions; and

WHEREAS, local funds from member local governments are provided annually to the MPO for consultant and personnel expenditures to cover consulting costs and to cover personnel costs associated with the expanded MPO staff that manages the TMS; and

WHEREAS, these local funds provided to the MPO are collected through an annual invoicing process that coincides with the local governments’ fiscal years; and

WHEREAS, the MPO incurs certain costs that are not eligible for reimbursement through federal and state grants, and therefore, the MPO requires a local funding source to cover non-grant-eligible expenses; and

WHEREAS, the MPO and the local governments entered into an Interlocal Agreement for the Creation, Funding and Implementation of a Master Transportation Management System Program dated November 5, 2012, and desire to continue the arrangement for services made between the parties; and

WHEREAS, the MPO and the member local governments of the MPO seek to enter into a new agreement updating the interlocal government regarding the TMS by including in the updated interlocal agreement certain provisions and providing clarity concerning to annually invoice member local governments for TMS expenses and for non-grant-eligible expenses per the annual budget approved by the MPO.
NOW, THEREFORE, IN CONSIDERATION of the mutual terms, understandings, conditions, and payments hereinafter set forth, and intending to be legally bound, the parties hereby agree as follows:

**Article 1. Recitals**

1.1 The foregoing recitals are true and correct and incorporated herein by reference.
Article 2. Purposes

2.1 The purpose of this Agreement is to address the TMS and to provide for the collection of local funds to cover MPO expenses that are not eligible for reimbursement through federal and state grants. The purpose of this Agreement is to implement a centralized database of transportation information that all parties to this Agreement may utilize as part of their individual Transportation Management Systems (TMS) as prescribed within their individual comprehensive plans and land development regulations. Data on development applications, vested and existing development, and traffic count information will be provided to the MPO by the counties and the municipalities. The MPO will maintain a database and model which will show impacts that proposed development will have on the transportation system and will provide results of such modeling to the counties and municipalities. Additionally, the purpose of this Agreement also is to provide for the allocation of local funds paid into the TMS to include expenditures not eligible for reimbursement through any federal or state grants received by the MPO.

Article 3. TMS Procedure

3.1 So that the centralized Transportation Management System (TMS) will contain the most current information available, the local governments will provide to the MPO any legally vested trips, any formally reserved trips and certificate of occupancy information. Initial information, to the extent available, shall be provided within ninety (90) days of the effective date of this Agreement, and thereafter during the term of this Agreement on a monthly basis.

3.2 The local governments may submit data from any applicant who is seeking a development approval for a project, including the traffic impact analysis, the number of proposed residential units and amount of non-residential square footage by use in accordance with the latest edition of the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Trip Generation Manual as amended.

3.3 Upon receipt of such data by the MPO, the following procedure shall be implemented in order to provide the certain local government with the information required in a timely fashion:

1) Provided the data received is determined to be sufficient to issue a report of findings, the MPO will evaluate the data based upon existing road capacity information, including adopted level of service, existing trips, reserved trips and vested trips, and submit a report of its findings within fifteen (15) calendar days of receipt of the request.
2) If the local government determines that additional information should be factored into the analysis, it may submit its comments for evaluation to the MPO within \textit{fifteen (15) calendar days} of the issuance of the MPO’s report for that application.

3) The MPO will review additional information submitted by the local government and provide final comments within \textit{fifteen (15) calendar days} of the submittal of new information.

4) If the facility is \textit{designated by the State of Florida as a SIS (Strategic Intermodal System) facility} or if the facility is a non-SIS state facility operating at 90 percent (90%) capacity or greater, the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) will be consulted for comments on proposed impacts. If no comments are received by the MPO from FDOT within \textit{thirty (30) days} of the MPO’s request, the MPO will issue its report based upon existing data.

3.4 The MPO will not make concurrency determinations on behalf of any local government. The MPO will issue a report of findings based on the data maintained by the MPO and the data submitted by the local government. The responsibility to make concurrency determinations and/or recommend approvals or denials of any development applications remains with the local government.

3.5 The MPO will, upon \textit{written request}, assist \textit{any local governments} in assessing needed changes ——by such local government to its comprehensive plan, policies or land development ——regulations related to transportation or transportation impacts.

\section*{Article 4. Term and Termination}

4.1 This Agreement shall become effective upon \textit{that date of execution of this Agreement by the last party (“effective date”) approvals by the member local governments of the MPO and final approval by the MPO.}

4.2 This Agreement shall remain in effect until terminated by \textit{a mutual agreement of the parties to this Agreement, or as otherwise provided by law}. Any party may withdraw from this Agreement after presenting, in written form, a notice of intent to withdraw presented to the other parties of this Agreement, at least ninety (90) days prior to the intended date of withdrawal. \textit{Upon receipt of the notice of intent to withdraw, the Chairman of the MPO is hereby authorized to enter into a The withdrawing party and the remaining parties shall execute a written memorandum with the withdrawing party memorializing reflecting the legal withdrawal of the party and the alteration of the list of parties that are signatories to this Agreement from the responsibilities of and services to be provided under the terms of this Agreement. The withdrawing party shall record at copy of the memorandum in the Official Records, at its own cost. Upon execution of such memorandum the withdrew party will receive no services under this Agreement.}
4.3 If for any reason this Agreement is terminated in its entirety, the TMS and its associated data in the format at the time of termination will be provided to the member local governments at no additional cost.

4.4 The parties agree that the Interlocal Agreement dated November 5, 2012, by and between the parties shall be considered terminated as the effective date of this Agreement.

Article 5. Funding

5.1 Each of the local governments will pay the MPO to fund the TMS an amount based upon its local government’s population percentage relative to the populations of all other member local governments per an annual budget approved by the MPO by May 31 of each year. Invoicing for local funds shall be conducted by the MPO after October 1 of each year.

5.2 The annual budget approved by the MPO by May 31 of each year shall include local funding amounts for the TMS and for the local funds that have been designated to cover the MPO’s costs not eligible for federal or state grant reimbursement. The parties acknowledge and agree that local funds collected pursuant to this Agreement may be used by the MPO, at its discretion, to cover non-grant eligible costs and expenses.

5.3 In each odd numbered year, the population figures and related contributions will be reviewed and adjusted as necessary for population changes. Funding contributions will be adjusted based upon Bureau of Economic and Business Research (BEBR) population figures. The updated information will be provided to each of the local governments by May 31. The new contribution rates shall become effective October 1.

Article 6. TMS Annual Report

6.1 An Annual Report on the status of all facilities included in the TMS shall be published each year and may be used in the MPO annual project prioritization process.


7.1 This Agreement, and any amendments hereto, may be simultaneously executed in several counterparts, each of which is executed shall be an original, and such counterparts together shall constitute one and the same instrument.

7.2 Amendments or modifications to this Agreement may only be made by written agreement signed by all parties hereto, with the same formalities as the original Agreement.
7.3 This Agreement shall be recorded in the official public records of each county.

7.4 If any provision of this Agreement is found by a court of competent jurisdiction to be invalid, it shall be considered deleted, and shall not invalidate the remaining provisions. The Agreement shall be interpreted, construed and governed by the laws of the State of Florida and venue shall lie in Lake County, Florida.

Agreement between MPO, Lake County, Sumter County, et al. for Funding and Implementation of the Transportation Management System and for Creation and Management of a Fund for Non-Grant Eligible Expenses

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have made and executed this Interlocal Agreement on the respective dates under each signature, each party by and through its authorized representative.
Lake~Sumter MPO  
PROJECT UPDATES  
February 2017

- **US 301 Project Development and Environment Study (Sumter County) – US 301/SR 44 Intersection Improvements and US 301/Florida’s Turnpike Interchange Improvements**  
  US 301 is being studied from SR 44 in Wildwood south to C-470 (west) in Sumterville. The study will lead to specific operational improvements and design improvements to the interchange of US 301 and Florida’s Turnpike and to the intersection of US 301 and SR 44. The study is also examining the concept of a new alignment east and south of Coleman. The planning effort is being coordinated with other Sumter County projects including the I-75/CR 514 proposed interchange and the C-470 study.

- **I-75/CR 514 Interchange Planning (Sumter County near Coleman)**  
  Staff is coordinating with Sumter County, FDOT and the Federal Highway Administration on an Interchange Justification Report and on an upcoming study of a potential new interchange with I-75 west of Coleman at CR 514. This effort is being coordinated with the US 301 study.

- **C-470 Study**  
  FDOT is nearing completion of a Project Development and Environment Study for C-470 in Sumter County east into Lake County across Florida’s Turnpike. The study is examining future needs for the roadway through 2040. The study is also part of an initiative to have 470 in both counties designated as a state road from I-75 in Sumter County east to US 27 in Lake County.

- **Wekiva Parkway Project**  
  FDOT and the Central Florida Expressway Authority are now moving into the right-of-way acquisition phase for most segments of the project. Construction is underway by CFX from US 441 north into Lake County. Construction of FDOT segments will be substantially underway by 2017. The first segment opened in January.

- **Trails: Central Florida C2C Trail and Wekiva Trail**  
  Because of the Central Florida MPO Alliance prioritization of Regional Trails, almost all phases of the C2C Trail recently received advancements of funding from FDOT for each needed phase in both counties. The FDOT recently announced forthcoming programming of the subsequent phases of each segment of the C2C. Meanwhile, the Wekiva Trail has two segments out of four segments committed for construction to be complete by 2019/20. The other two segments are now in the design phase.

- **Minneola Interchange: Florida’s Turnpike/North Hancock Road/Citrus Grove Road**  
  Florida’s Turnpike Enterprise is to open the new interchange at Milepost 279 in June. North Hancock Road has been opened as a four-lane roadway just south of the forthcoming interchange. North of the interchange, a two-lane North Hancock Road is under construction to CR 561A by the Hills of Minneola landowner. Meanwhile, an east-west connection to US 27 will be accomplished by building Citrus Grove Road as a four-lane roadway, which is under design.

- **Lake-Orange Parkway (US 27 to SR 429)**  
  The Orange-Lake Parkway Partners, LLC, is examining options to construct a road between US 27 in Clermont east to SR 429 just south of Winter Garden. Multiple options are being explored to satisfy this regional need that would catalyze the northern corridor of the Wellness Way Area Plan. Once the landowners coordinate the alignment of the future roadway through the Conserve II property, the roadway project will move forward.

- **SR 50 PD&E Study**  
  SR 50 is being studied from US 301 in Hernando County east to CR 33 in Mascotte. The Project Development and Environment Study is examining safety and capacity needs and will take into account the environmental issues relative to the Green Swamp and the Withlacoochee State Forest.